	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/611,054	GRAY ET AL.	GRAY ET AL.	
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
•	Stefano Karmis	3691	į.	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATEN of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1	S IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in 85) or other appropriate commu IT RIGHTS. This application is s	this application. If not include inication will be mailed in due of	ed course. THIS	
1. $igstyle igstyle igstyle$ This communication is responsive to ${\it \underline{Amendment filed}}$	<u> 22 May 2007.</u> .			
2. X The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-9,14-27 and 32-36</u> .				
 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priori a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received:	have been received. have been received in Applicatio y documents have been received	n No I in this national stage applicat		
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DA noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDO THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		a reply complying with the req	uirements	
 A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be s INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which 			OTICE OF	
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets")	must be submitted.			
(a) including changes required by the Notice of Drafts	sperson's Patent Drawing Review	r (PTO-948) attached		
1) 🗌 hereto or 2) 📗 to Paper No./Mail Date	······•			
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Example Paper No./Mail Date				
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 C each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such	FR 1.84(c)) should be written on the in the header according to 37 CF	ne drawings in the front (not the R 1.121(d).	back) of	
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the d attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREME 	eposit of BIOLOGICAL MATE ENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIO	ERIAL must be submitted. N DLOGICAL MATERIAL.	lote the	
		,		
	•		• ·	
Attachment(s) 1. ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5 ☐ Notice of Int	formal Patent Application		
 Notice of References Cited (F10-092) District Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9) 	48) 6. 🗌 Interview St	ımmary (PTO-413),		
3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),		Mail Date Amendment/Comment	·	
Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Depo	osit 8. ⊠ Examiner's	8. 🛛 Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance		
of Biological Material	9. 🗍 Other			
	•			

Application/Control Number: 09/611,054

Art Unit: 3691

DETAILED ACTION

The following communication is in response to Applicant's amendment filed 22 May
 2007.

Status of Claims

2. Claims 1, 4, 5 and 19 are currently amended. Claims 10-13 and 28-31 are cancelled. Claims 1-9, 14-27 and 32-36 are currently pending.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Prior art U.S. Patent 5,996,013 to Delp et al. (hereinafter Delp) teaches a method and apparatus for resource allocation with guarantees (column 1, lines 38-43). Delp teaches that a controller performs the role of a decision maker, arbitrating between multiple flows and determining which cells are deserving of resources while a resource allocator performs the actual allocation and deallocation of resources at the behest of the controller (column 3, line 66 thru column 4, line 5). The computer communications network recourse allocation system uses a resource arrangement including at least two pools of resources, one designated the dedicated pool, a second designated the shared pool and a third optional high use or no use pool (column 4, lines 12-22). Delp teaches the advantages of the memory pool arrangement are that the dedicated pool provides the guarantees (column 4, lines 38-49). Delp also teaches an alternative

Application/Control Number: 09/611,054

Art Unit: 3691

method that uses the predetermined low threshold, an importance factor Fi and the user charge Ni for resource allocation (column 5, lines 6-39).

Prior art U.S. Patent 6,005,925 to Johnson et al. (hereinafter Johnson) teaches a system for bidding for telecommunication services in which a Moderator administers collection and dissemination of bidding information (column 6, lines 5-15). Johnson teaches that Carriers bid for traffic from subscribing switches and that the Carriers transmit their bids from the network management computers over data links (column 6, lines 16-27). The Moderator receives the bids, processes them in its processor to produce carrier selection data (column 6, lines 35-41). The Moderator processes the bids to prioritize them for each route segment, producing derivative data, including carrier selection data (column 6, lines 54-65).

The prior art fails to teach A method for service allocation among a plurality of entities requiring service allocation in a communications or computing environment comprising the steps of: redistributing a supply of said holding entities among said bidding entities, wherein said redistribution is based upon a proportion of said supply held by said holding entity using a holding price, said proportion being described by the formula: R_c (1- P_c/P_b)^{exp}, wherein R_c is the current allocation of resource to said holding entity, P_c is the current holding price of said resource as held by said holding entity, P_b is the bid price and exp is an exponent as discussed in the specification on page 26, line 23 thru page 28, line 25. For this reason claim 1 is deemed allowable over the prior art. Independent claim 19 is deemed allowable for similar reasons.

Application/Control Number: 09/611,054

Art Unit: 3691

no later than the

Page 4

4. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stefano Karmis whose telephone number is (571) 272-6744. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alex Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3691

Respectfully Submitted Stefano Karmis 10 September 2007

HANI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER